INNOVAPATH™
The Premier Journal Bridging Discovery & Innovation

Commentary
https://doi.org/10.63501/j7k7hj32

Fatherhood Begins Before Conception - How Epigenetics Is Rewriting the Story of Paternal Inheritance

Sohail Rao, MD, MA, DPhil[1]

[1]INNOVACORE™ Center for Research & Biotechnology, 6918 Camp Bullis Road, San Antonio, TX 78256, U.S.A

[1]Corresponding Author Email: srao@innovacore.net

Keywords: *Epigenetics, Genetic; Spermatozoa; Preconception Care; Lifestyle; Fathers*

For decades, conversations about preconception health have focused almost entirely on women. Expectant mothers receive detailed guidance on nutrition, supplements, stress management, and lifestyle modifications. Fathers, by contrast, have largely been absent from this narrative, their biological contribution, in the popular imagination, reduced to a single moment of genetic transfer.

That understanding is now changing. Research in epigenetics, the study of how genes are regulated without altering the DNA sequence itself, reveals that sperm carry far more than a set of chromosomes. It arrives at conception bearing chemical markers shaped by a man's environment, habits, and physiological state in the months leading up to fertilization (Donkin & Barrès, 2018). These markers influence which genes are activated or silenced during early embryonic development, with potential consequences that extend across a lifetime.

The implications are profound. Fatherhood, it turns out, does not begin at birth, or even at conception. It begins earlier, encoded in molecular signals that reflect how a man has been living.

The Molecular Cargo of Sperm:

The human genome contains roughly 20,000 genes, but the genome alone does not determine biological outcomes. Genes must be read, interpreted, and expressed, processes governed by an intricate regulatory system. Epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation, histone modifications, and small non-coding RNAs, serve as this regulatory layer (Heard & Martienssen, 2014). They do not change the genetic code, but they alter how that code is used.

Sperm cells carry all three types of epigenetic information. DNA methylation patterns, chemical tags attached to specific gene sequences, can persist through fertilization and influence gene expression in the developing embryo (Jenkins & Carrell, 2012). Histones, the proteins around which DNA is wound, carry their own modifications that affect chromatin structure. Perhaps most intriguingly, sperm contain small RNAs that appear capable of transmitting regulatory information to offspring (Chen *et al*., 2016).

These epigenetic marks are not fixed. They respond to environmental signals. Diet, chronic stress, sleep disruption, alcohol consumption, smoking, and metabolic health have all been shown to modify the

https://doi.org/10.63501/j7k7hj32

epigenetic landscape of sperm (Soubry, 2018). The relevant window is approximately three months, the duration of spermatogenesis, during which mature sperm cells develop from precursor cells (Amann, 2008).

Evidence From Animal and Human Studies:

The most controlled evidence comes from animal research. Studies in mice have demonstrated that paternal diet can influence offspring metabolism across multiple generations. Male mice fed high-fat diets produce offspring with altered glucose regulation and increased susceptibility to metabolic dysfunction, even when those offspring are raised by unrelated mothers under normal dietary conditions (Fullston *et al*., 2013; Ng *et al*., 2010). Similar patterns emerge with paternal stress exposure: male rodents subjected to chronic stress sire offspring with altered stress reactivity and behavioral profiles (Rodgers *et al*., 2013).

Human evidence is necessarily more circumstantial, but increasingly suggestive. The Överkalix cohort studies, drawing on detailed historical records from an isolated Swedish community, found associations between grandfathers' food availability during their slow growth period (roughly ages 9-12) and grandchildren's mortality risk from diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Pembrey *et al*., 2006). The patterns were sex-specific and generation-specific, consistent with epigenetic rather than purely genetic transmission.

More recent epidemiological work has linked paternal obesity, smoking, and age to various offspring outcomes, including birth weight, metabolic markers, and neurodevelopmental patterns (Braun *et al*., 2017; Soubry *et al*., 2013). Establishing causation in humans remains challenging, as confounding factors are difficult to eliminate, and direct measurement of sperm epigenetics in population studies remains uncommon. But the convergence of animal experiments and human observations points in a consistent direction.

Expanding the Lens on Reproductive Health:

The practical implications of this research are still being worked out. Unlike genetic mutations, epigenetic marks are potentially modifiable (Feinberg, 2018). If paternal lifestyle factors genuinely influence offspring health through epigenetic mechanisms, then the three months preceding conception represent a window of opportunity for intervention.

This does not mean that every paternal health behavior will have measurable effects on children. Epigenetic influences are probabilistic, not deterministic. They interact with maternal factors, the child's environment, and genetic variation (Heard & Martienssen, 2014). The effects observed in research are often subtle shifts in risk distributions rather than stark differences in outcomes.

Nor should this research be interpreted as grounds for blame or guilt. The point is not to add another source of anxiety to prospective parents, but to recognize that reproductive biology is more symmetrical than previously assumed (Braun *et al.,* 2017). Both partners contribute biological context to the next generation, and both have some capacity to shape that context through modifiable factors.

A Quieter Revolution:

Epigenetics represents a quieter revolution than genomics, less dramatic than the sequencing of the human genome, less amenable to splashy headlines about 'genes for' particular traits. Its insights are about regulation, context, and nuance. It suggests that inheritance involves not just what genes we pass on, but how those genes are prepared to function (Heard & Martienssen, 2014).

For fatherhood, this reframing is significant. The biological contribution of fathers extends beyond a genetic blueprint to include regulatory information shaped by lived experience. Not in any mystical or metaphorical sense, but in the concrete language of molecular biology. The body remembers, and some of what it remembers can be transmitted (Chen *et al*., 2016).

This is not about sentimentality. It is about science and about a more complete picture of how generations connect to one another, one that includes fathers as biological participants in ways we are only beginning to understand.

REFERENCES

1. Amann, R. P. (2008). The cycle of the seminiferous epithelium in humans: A need to revisit? *Journal of Andrology, 29*(5), 469–487. https://doi.org/10.2164/jandrol.107.004655
2. Braun, J. M., Messerlian, C., & Hauser, R. (2017). Fathers matter: Why it's time to consider the impact of paternal environmental exposures on children's health. *Current Epidemiology Reports, 4*(1), 46–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-017-0098-8
3. Chen, Q., Yan, M., Cao, Z., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Shi, J., Feng, G., Peng, H., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Qian, J., Duan, E., Zhai, Q., & Zhou, Q. (2016). Sperm tsRNAs contribute to intergenerational inheritance of an acquired metabolic disorder. *Science, 351*(6271), 397–400. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad7977
4. Donkin, I., & Barrès, R. (2018). Sperm epigenetics and influence of environmental factors. *Molecular Metabolism, 14*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2018.02.006
5. Feinberg, A. P. (2018). The key role of epigenetics in human disease prevention and mitigation. *New England Journal of Medicine, 378*(14), 1323–1334. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1402513
6. Fullston, T., Ohlsson Teague, E. M. C., Palmer, N. O., DeBlasio, M. J., Mitchell, M., Corbett, M., Print, C. G., Owens, J. A., & Lane, M. (2013). Paternal obesity initiates metabolic disturbances in two generations of mice with incomplete penetrance to the F2 generation and alters the transcriptional profile of testis and sperm microRNA content. *FASEB Journal, 27*(10), 4226–4243. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.12-224048
7. Heard, E., & Martienssen, R. A. (2014). Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: Myths and mechanisms. *Cell, 157*(1), 95–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.045
8. Jenkins, T. G., & Carrell, D. T. (2012). The sperm epigenome and potential implications for the developing embryo. *Reproduction, 143*(6), 727–734. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-11-0450
9. Ng, S.-F., Lin, R. C. Y., Laybutt, D. R., Barres, R., Owens, J. A., & Morris, M. J. (2010). Chronic high-fat diet in fathers' programs β-cell dysfunction in female rat offspring. *Nature, 467*(7318), 963–966. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09491
10. Pembrey, M. E., Bygren, L. O., Kaati, G., Edvinsson, S., Northstone, K., Sjöström, M., & Golding, J. (2006). Sex-specific, male-line transgenerational responses in humans. *European Journal of Human Genetics, 14*(2), 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201538
11. Rodgers, A. B., Morgan, C. P., Bronson, S. L., Revello, S., & Bale, T. L. (2013). Paternal stress exposure alters sperm microRNA content and reprograms offspring HPA stress axis regulation. *Journal of Neuroscience, 33*(21), 9003–9012. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0914-13.2013

12. Soubry, A. (2018). POHaD: Why we should study future fathers. *Environmental Epigenetics, 4*(2), dvy007. https://doi.org/10.1093/eep/dvy007
13. Soubry, A., Schildkraut, J. M., Murtha, A., Wang, F., Huang, Z., Bernal, A., Kurber, J., Jirtle, R. L., Murphy, S. K., & Hoyo, C. (2013). Paternal obesity is associated with IGF2 hypomethylation in newborns: Results from a Newborn Epigenetics Study (NEST) cohort. *BMC Medicine, 11*, 29. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-11-29.