INNOVAPATH™
The Premier Journal Bridging Discovery & Innovation

Review
https://doi.org/10.63501/sgwv1r34

A Sustainable Model for Renal Transplantation in Low-Resource Settings: The SIUT Experience

ABSTRACT

Background: Pakistan, with a population of over 240 million, has an estimated end-stage renal disease (ESRD) incidence of 100 per million, yet only 10% of patients access renal replacement therapy due to cost and resource limitations. The Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT) has pioneered a free, community-supported renal transplantation model since the 1980s.

Objectives: This narrative review examines the SIUT model as a sustainable approach to renal transplantation in low-resource settings, highlighting its scalability.

Methods: We reviewed operational data, patient outcomes, and funding mechanisms from SIUT's records (1986–2024), supplemented by comparative analyses with other low-income country models.

Results: SIUT has performed over 6,000 renal transplants, with a 90% graft survival rate at one year, funded through a 40-60% government-community partnership. Challenges include reliance on living donors (95% of cases) and limited deceased donor programs. The model's annual budget of $28 million (2010) has remained sustainable through local philanthropy, reducing patient costs to zero.

Conclusion: The SIUT model demonstrates that free, integrated dialysis and transplant services are feasible in low-resource settings with strong community support. Future research should explore integrating deceased donation to enhance scalability.

KEYWORDS: Renal transplantation, Low-resource settings, SIUT, Pakistan, sustainability

INTRODUCTION

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) is a life-threatening condition where the kidneys fail to filter waste, necessitating treatments like dialysis or transplantation. In low-resource countries, limited access to these treatments leaves many patients without care. Pakistan, with a population over 240 million people, faces ESRD burden, as it stands at around 100 newly diagnosed cases per million individuals annually (1). However, merely 10% of those who have this disease can have access to or afford renal replacement therapies because of high costs, the lack of facilities, and the absence of sufficiently trained medical professionals (2,3). This level of medical care has become a far-wide etiology and thus requires eco-conscious, inexpensive, and localized strategies to fill the gaps.

The Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT) in Karachi, Pakistan, is an outstanding example of such a solution. Since the 1980s, SIUT has offered completely free kidney dialysis and transplantation procedures, which are made possible through a unique public-private and local community funding schemes (4). This strategy operates to provide for all patients, regardless of their financial barriers, access to high-grade healthcare without spending any of money. For the record, SIUT has conducted over 6,000 kidney transplant surgeries throughout the years, attaining an extraordinary result of 90% graft survival rate after one year, which is a global standard result (5). This achievement in grafting highlights the strength of healthcare community approaches in view of multi-faceted medical needs.

https://doi.org/10.63501/sgwv1r34

SIUT is sustained by its model of innovative financial mechanisms. The institute, which budgets about $28 million a year, is primarily funded through government support and donations from the community (5,6). Thanks to this collaboration, SIUT has been able to continue to provide free services to its patients and has not compromised on the quality of care. On the other hand, issues are there, such as the continued over-reliance on living donors, who account for 95% of the transplant cases; and the stagnant evolution of programs utilizing deceased donors (4,7). These are the aspects where focus is needed to proliferate the model.

This narrative review delves into the SIUT model as a sustainable framework for renal transplantation in low-resource settings. The study, on one hand, will visualize the effectiveness and possible developments of the model by means of operational data, patient outcomes, and funding details obtained from SIUT's records (1986-2024) and, on the other hand, it will compare these with the different ways in which other low-income countries do the same work. The examination of these aspects gives us the opportunity to present perspectives on the provision of fair health care in difficult environments and to recommend such actions as support for the development of systems based on the utilization of deceased donors, to improve these models' realities.

METHODOLOGY

Purpose

The goal of this narrative review is to assess the SIUT model as a viable and sustainable approach to renal transplant in low-resource settings, specifically its potential for scalability. This paper is based on the research on SIUT's operating framework, patient outcomes, and funding mechanisms; and how this model can be tailored to the rising number of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients in similar situations (4).

Search Strategy

Literature search was done systematically using a collection of literature from electronic databases like PubMed, Google Scholar, and SIUT institutional archives, for the years from 1986 to 2024. Separate keywords, for instance, “renal transplantation”, “SIUT”, “Pakistan”, “low-resource settings”, “sustainability”, and “community-funded healthcare” were used in different combinations. Also, manual search was done for other research articles, and reference lists to find out other sources. The search was limited to English-language publications and documents that were about SIUT only or similar ones in poor countries (4,8,9).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

Only those studies and reports were considered that had specified the data on SIUT's kidney transplant outcomes, funding sources, or operational tactics between the years 1986 and 2024. To evaluate if it is optional, comparative analyses of renal transplantation models in a similar low-resource setting were attached. Originating research was exclusively limited to peer-reviewed papers, SIUT annual reports, and institutional records. The criteria for exclusion were articles that were not in English, studies that did not provide primary data specifically for SIUT, and publications that were only about dialysis but did not mention anything about transplantation. Pieces of opinion or editorials that did not have empirical evidence were also excluded (10).

Data Sources

The data were obtained primarily from SIUT's internal documents such as patient outcome statistics, transplant numbers, and financial reports covering the period from 1986 to 2024. These were supplemented with the peer-reviewed journal articles such as Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation and Transplantation Proceedings which have discussed SIUT's feats and hardships. Furthermore, the data from other low-income countries like India and Bangladesh were used in studies on renal transplantation as a basis for

https://doi.org/10.63501/sgwv1r34

making SIUT's model comprehensible. The SIUT Annual Report (2023) was a crucial reference for the most recent trends and metrics (5,11).

Limitations

This review is limited by its reliance on available SIUT records, which may not fully capture unpublished challenges or regional variations in implementation. The heavy dependence on living donors (95% of cases) and the lack of detailed deceased donor program data restrict the analysis of long-term scalability (12). Furthermore, the comparison with other low-income country models is constrained by differences in healthcare infrastructure and funding systems. Future studies should address these gaps by incorporating broader data sets and exploring deceased donation feasibility (2,3).

RESULTS

Overview of Transplant Activity

The Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT) has made notable advances in the field of renal transplantation since it was founded in the 1980s. As of 2024, SIUT has performed more than 6,000 kidney transplants, thereby becoming a leader in low-resource healthcare setups. This extraordinary accomplishment is a testimony to the endeavors of the institute in bringing about the change needed for the end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients in Pakistan, where such treatments are scarce (13).

Patient Outcome

One year after the surgery, SIUT has observed that 90% of the patients are living with the new graft, a figure that corresponds to the criteria in the international scale for successful kidney transplants. This high percentage of successful procedures proves the level of surgical skills and post-operative care & treatment that are provided at SIUT. Nevertheless, the long-term data which are beyond a year are not very concrete, thus there is a need for further follow-up studies in order to assess long-lasting outcomes (5).

Funding Mechanism

SIUT is basically autonomous due to funding from the community and local health organizations, contributing of 40% and 60% respectively. It has been recorded in 2010 that for SIUT a cost budget of around $28 million is allocated, thanks to this method of financing, the institute has kept the costs per patient to nothing for the services. Such funding is mainly based on local donations, which are still able to cover expenses even in advent of the prolonged economic downturn in Pakistan (14).

Challenges and Limitations

A significant hurdle is the almost complete dependence on living donors, constituting 95% of transplants. This situation is the main reason for the program's limited scalability because it creates an obligation for families and narrows down the available organ pool. Moreover, the implementation of deceased donor programs is still very scanty, and pertinent cultural, legal, and infrastructural issues are the major problems (15). These shortcomings indicate the prospect for SIUT's improvement of the model to address the increasing requirement.

Comparative Analysis

When compared to other low-income country models, such as those in India and Bangladesh, SIUT stands out for its free services and community funding. However, these countries have made more progress in deceased donor transplantation, offering a potential lesson for SIUT’s future development (16). This comparison suggests that integrating deceased donation could further improve SIUT’s scalability.

Aspect	Details
Total Transplants	Over 6,000 (1986-2024)
Graft Survival Rate	90% at 1 year
Funding Split	40% government, 60% community
Annual Budget	$28 million (2010)
Donor type	95% living donors
Main Challenge	Limited deceased donor programs
No. Of Patients served Annually	Approximately 150-200 (estimated)

TABLE 1: Summary Table of Key Results

This Table shows key results of SIUT's renal replacement survival rate, finance system and Management issues.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Findings

The Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT) has emerged as a distinguishable model of renal transplantation in underdeveloped regions, facilitating more than 6,000 transplants at a rate of 90% graft survival after one year. The success points out the possibility of delivering high-quality treatment without financial barriers, because of the 40-60% government-community funding partnership (1,5,17). The $28 million (2010) annual budget is the demonstration of sustainability through the strategy of local philanthropy that is a funding source for running the organization, treatment for patients is free of charge and 240 million people in Pakistan are addressed by the strategy, that is, there is only 10% of ESRD patients who are receiving treatment (17). Nevertheless, the problem of difficulties in scalability due to the 95% reliance on living donors and the shortage of the deceased donor program makes it obvious that, where cultural and infrastructural challenges are concerned, a targeted solution is needed (18) (19–21) (22) (23).

Comparison with Existing Literature

Though being a unique free service model SIUT has substantial differences from its counterparts in India and Bangladesh, the countries have outperformed in cadaveric donor transplantation and could give a roadmap to SIUT. As an example, the cadaveric donation program in India has escalated organ availability, thereby decreasing the need for living donors (2,3,11). The high graft survival rate of SIUT coincides with the standards applied internationally, but the unavailability of long-term data restricts a complete comparison with its peers on international efficiency (5). This comparison indicates that the addition of the option of cadaveric donation may result in greater benefits for SIUT, which is in line with the global tendency of including different kinds of donors.

Implications for Practice and Policy

The SIUT model is a community-orientated, government-supported framework that can be applied in similar circumstances in a low-resource setting. The success of this model in serving 150-200 patients annually is a testament to its capability, while the expansion of deceased donor programs could help address the shortage of deceased donation (17).

Strengths and Limitations

The unique feature of SIUT is the zero cost and fully integrated services with community support. The integrated services are a global model for equity in healthcare, which SIUT offers free of charge and is supported by the community, which is a unique feature of this organization. The 90% graft survival rate is indicative of the advanced clinical practices observed here despite the austere

resources available. Yet, limitations encompass the absence of the deceased donor network and the unavailability of the total period of data which impede the issues of scalability and undivided valuation (10,11). The living donor-centric model raises ethical dilemmas particularly concerning family burden, an area that requires further investigation.

Future Research Directions

Future research must aim at devising ways to establish donor deceased programs, evaluating their applicability in Pakistan's cultural background, and acquiring long-term patient outcome data. Furthermore, a comparative study conducted with similar low-income nations can help in pinpointing the best approaches for a worldwide expansion of SIUT's model (5,17).

CONCLUSION

Summary of Key Findings

The model of the Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT) has been considered a revolutionary way of conducting renal transplantation in the resource-challenged settings and has been able to perform 6,000 transplants over the years with a 90% one-year graft survival rate. The 40-60% community-government funding model and the $28 million annual budget (2010) are the sources of revenue of SIUT which offers free and integrated dialysis and transplant services that answer the health issues of Pakistan with its 240 million people where only 10% of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients receive treatment (11,24). Even though it has been dependent on living donors (95%) and has a limited number of deceased donor programs, the model's success in local philanthropy has led to the conclusion that it could be a possible solution to the problem (25,26).

Clinical and Public Health Implications

The achievement of high-quality renal care in a resource-limited environment with strong community support and proper funding is what SIUT's success established. With the model's operation of serving around 150-200 patients each year, it makes itself a potential model for other developing countries to follow towards diminishing healthcare imbalances (8). But heavy dependency on living donor's points to the urgent of introducing deceased donor programs not only for addressing the problem of increasing patients but for the families too but positively impacting patient long-term health (3).

Recommendations for Future Action

Policymakers should focus on legal and cultural reforms alongside the launch of public education campaigns to promote acceptance of the deceased donation to increase the impact of SIUT. In addition, financing the education of more healthcare professionals will be the best way to not only sustain but also scale up the project. Entering partnerships with global organizations might be a way to gain the necessary technical assistance for the deceased donor modification (9,27,28).

Final Remarks

The SIUT experience is a live example of community-driven innovation constituting equitable healthcare. Although the model has become a global standard, its potential can really be seen by overcoming the existing limitations. Therefore, the future research should involve the combination of deceased donation with the evaluation of long-term outcomes to ensure SIUT's position as a model of the global sustainable renal transplantation (5).

REFERENCES

1. Saeed ZI, Hussain SA. Chronic kidney disease in Pakistan: an under-recognized public health problem. Kidney Int [Internet]. 2012 Jun 1 [cited 2025 May 3];81(11):1151. Available from: https://www.kidney-international.org/action/showFullText?pii=S0085253815552307
2. Ullah K, Dogar AW, Ochani S, Shoaib A, Shah HH, Ur Rehman ME. Obstacles to the deceased donor transplantation in Pakistan. BMJ Open Gastroenterol [Internet]. 2023 May 30 [cited 2025 May 3];10(1). Available

from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37253533/
3. Naqvi R. Organ Transplantation from Deceased Donor: myths and facts in Pakistan and world over. Pakistan Journal of Kidney Diseases. 2023 Dec 31;7(4):2–9.
4. Rizvi SAH, Naqvi SAA, Zafar MN, Akhtar SF. A kidney transplantation model in a low-resource country: an experience from Pakistan. Kidney Int Suppl (2011) [Internet]. 2013 May 1 [cited 2025 May 3];3(2):236–40. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25018989
5. SIUT – Sindh Institute Of Urology And Transplantation [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 2]. Available from: https://siut.org/
6. Renal transplantation in Pakistan - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 3]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12971449/
7. Rizvi SAH, Naqvi SAA, Zafar MN, Hussain Z, Hashmi A, Hussain M, et al. A renal transplantation model for developing countries. American Journal of Transplantation [Internet]. 2011 Nov [cited 2025 May 3];11(11):2302–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21883911/
8. Naqvi R. Epidemiological trends in community acquired acute kidney injury in Pakistan: 25 years experience from a tertiary care renal unit. Pak J Med Sci. 2021 Mar 1;37(2):1–8.
9. Sakhuja V, Sud K. End-stage renal disease in India and Pakistan: Burden of disease and management issues. Kidney Int Suppl. 2003;63(83).
10. Rizvi SAH, Naqvi SAA, Zafar MN, Hussain Z, Hashmi A, Hussain M, et al. Living related renal transplants with lifelong follow-up. A model for the developing world. Clin Nephrol. 2010;74(SUPPL.1).
11. The experience of a European nephrologist at the SIUT: the largest Nephrology-Urology Transplantation Unit in South East Asia - GIN [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 3]. Available from: https://giornaleitalianodinefrologia.it/en/2022/08/39-04-2022-01/
12. A kidney transplantation model in a low-resource country: an experience from Pakistan - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 3]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25018989/
13. Crews DC, Bello AK, Saadi G, World Kidney Day Steering Committee. Burden, Access, and Disparities in Kidney Disease. Indian J Nephrol [Internet]. 2019 Jan 1 [cited 2025 May 3];29(2):77–83. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30983746
14. Zafar MN, Rizvi SAH. Providing "Free" Access to Dialysis and Transplant to the Disfranchised. A Sustainable Model for Low and Low Middle Income Countries (LMICs). Transplant International. 2023 Jul 11;36.
15. Rizvi SAH, Naqvi SAA, Zafar MN, Akhtar SF. A kidney transplantation model in a low-resource country: an experience from Pakistan. Kidney Int Suppl (2011) [Internet]. 2013 May 1 [cited 2025 May 3];3(2):236–40. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25018989/
16. Annals of Transplantation | Living donor kidney transplantation in Pakistan - Article abstract #880258 [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 3]. Available from: https://annalsoftransplantation.com/abstract/index/idArt/880258
17. Rizvi SAH, Naqi Zafar M, Aziz T, Anwar Naqvi SA, Hussain Z, Hashmi A, et al. Renal Transplantation in Low Resource Settings. In: Chronic Kidney Disease in Disadvantaged Populations. Elsevier; 2017. p. 291–301.
18. Naqvi R. Epidemiological trends in community acquired acute Kidney Injury in Pakistan: 25 years' Experience from a Tertiary Care Renal Unit. Pak J Med Sci. 2021 Feb 2;37(2).
19. Maita KC, Michael; Maniaci J, Clifton; Haider R, Francisco; et al. The Impact of Digital Health Solutions on Bridging the Health Care Gap in Rural Areas: A Scoping Review. Perm J [Internet]. 2024 Aug 13 [cited 2025 May 2];1–14. Available from: /doi/pdf/10.7812/TPP/23.134?download=true
20. Koppula S, Patel K, Unruh M. The Delivery of Kidney Care in Rural or Sparsely Populated Settings. American Journal of Kidney Diseases [Internet]. 2025 Apr 29 [cited 2025 May 2]; Available from:

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0272638625008327
21. Ebulue CC, Ebulue OR, Ekesiobi CS. PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN HEALTH SECTOR INNOVATION: LESSONS FROM AROUND THE WORLD. International Medical Science Research Journal [Internet]. 2024 Apr 20 [cited 2025 May 2];4(4):484–99. Available from: https://fepbl.com/index.php/imsrj/article/view/1051
22. Pyatt G. Balanced Development: An Approach to Development Policy and Priorities. Development [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2025 May 2];(February):5–9. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266462135_Rural-Urban_disparities_in_Khyber_Pakhtunkhwa_Pakistan
23. Susanna C, van Dijk N, de Jongh W, Verberght H, van Mook W, Bollen J, et al. Promising Results of Kidney Transplantation from Donors Following Euthanasia During 10-Year Follow-Up: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Transplant [Internet]. 2024 Oct 18 [cited 2025 May 2]; 37:13142. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/39494307
24. A renal transplantation model for developing countries - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 3]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21883911/
25. Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K, Li Z, Naicker S, Plattner B, et al. Chronic kidney disease: Global dimension and perspectives. The Lancet [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2025 May 2];382(9888):260–72. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23727169/
26. Augustine J. Kidney transplant: New opportunities and challenges. Cleve Clin J Med [Internet]. 2018 Feb 1 [cited 2025 May 2];85(2):138–44. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29425089/
27. Adhikari R, Sharma JR, Smith P, Malata A. Foreign aid, Cashgate and trusting relationships amongst stakeholders: key factors contributing to (mal) functioning of the Malawian health system. Health Policy Plan [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited 2025 May 2];34(3):197–206. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czz021
28. PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN HEALTH SECTOR INNOVATION: LESSONS FROM AROUND THE WORLD | International Medical Science Research Journal [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 2]. Available from: https://fepbl.com/index.php/imsrj/article/view/1051

Rida Shakeel[1], Sohaib Aftab Ahmad Chaudhry[2]

[1]MBBS, Dow Medical College, Karachi, Pakistan
ridashakeel92@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: [2]MBBS, ABWA Medical College, Faisalabad, Pakistan
drsohaibaftab@gmail.com

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial conflicts of interest to disclose